

# The role of parietal cortex in global/local processing of hierarchical stimuli: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study

Jungang Qin<sup>a</sup> and Shihui Han<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Psychology, Peking University and <sup>b</sup>Learning and Cognition Lab, Capital Normal University, Beijing, PRC

Correspondence to Professor Shihui Han, PhD, Department of Psychology, Peking University, 5 Yiheyuan Road, Beijing 100871, PRC  
Tel: +86 10 6275 9138; fax: +86 10 6276 1081; e-mail: shan@pku.edu.cn

Received 26 July 2007; accepted 3 September 2007

We studied whether the posterior parietal cortex contributes both to focus attention on one level and to switch attention between global and local levels of compound letters across trials. After 1 Hz repeated transcranial magnetic stimulation was applied to the left, right posterior parietal cortex, and the precentral gyrus, participants identified global and local target letters. We found that repeated transcranial magnetic stimulation over the

left posterior parietal cortex resulted in faster global than local responses but did not affect global-to-local interference and the level-repetition effect. The results suggest that the neural mechanism underlying focusing attention on one level of compound stimuli is distinct from that mediating switching attention between global and local levels across trials. *NeuroReport* 18:1921–1924 © 2007 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

**Keywords:** compound stimulus, global/local, parietal cortex, transcranial magnetic stimulation

## Introduction

14 . F  
5 7 . A  
) 8,9 .  
A  
A  
4,10,11 , MRI  
12 . I , M *et al.*  
13  
( TMS)

A  
14 .  
A  
T  
TMS  
B  
(F . 1), 1-H TMS  
TMS 15 . I  
I  
TMS  
O  
TMS


## Materials and methods

### Participants


E (11, =20.7)  
19 23  
A A  
T  
D  
P P

### Stimuli and procedures


T  
(74.5 / <sup>2</sup>) (15.7 / <sup>2</sup>)  
125 E  
(2.8 × 1.8°) (0.36 × 0.23°)  
5 × 5 ( F . 1). T H' S'  
A' E' E





**Fig. 2** Mean reaction time for global and local targets in congruent and incongruent conditions with rTMS over P3, CZ and P4. Error bars represent standard errors.




**Fig. 3**



**Discussion**





**Conclusion**

The present study shows that the ERP component T...

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (P 30630025, 30225026, 30328016).

**References**

1. F GR, H P, M JC, F CD, F RSJ, D RJ. *Nature* 1996; 382:626-628.
2. H S, F S, C L, O. *J Cogn Neurosci* 1997; 9:686-697.
3. H S, J M, S E, DL H. *NeuroImage* 2002; 17:1290-1299.
4. R LC, L MR, K RT, E. *J Neurosci* 1988; 8:3757-3769.

5. H S, H G, C L, P. *J Exp Psychol: Hum Percept Perform* 1999; 25:1411-1432.
6. H S, H E, DL A. *Biol Psychol* 2001; 56:113-130.
7. H S, H G, S. *Quarterly J Exp Psychol Sec A* 2002; 55:5-21.
8. LM D. *J Exp Psychol: Hum Percept Perform* 1982; 8:562-581.
9. R LC, A. *J Exp Psychol General* 1996; 125:227-249.
10. L MR, R LC, K RT, A. *Neuropsychologia* 1989; 27:471-483.
11. L MR, R LC, K RT, C. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cognit* 1990; 16:471-483.
12. H S, J G, H N. *Hum Brain Map* 2004; 22:321-328.
13. M C, H G, S L, A. *Nat Neurosci* 2005; 8:276-277.
14. S J, E F, L. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2003; 47:255-269.
15. B B, P A, M I-H, C LG, R. *Neurology* 2000; 54:1529-1531.
16. L MR, E S. *Percept Psychophys* 1996; 58:363-373.
17. C A, T. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2000; 1:73-79.
18. H HJ, H H, S M, B, M GR, N. *J Cognit Neurosci* 1998; 4:485-498.
19. G, H R, O. *Neuropsychol* 2004; 42:1805-1813.
20. H R, H J, P P, C. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1987; 66:376-382.
21. R R, R LC, T. *The cognitive neurosciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1995. 625-648.
22. R LC, R R, D. *The new cognitive neurosciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2000. 633-649.